

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/004,097	10/31/2001	Bogdan Jakobik	2585-000008	9329	
27572 75	590 10/05/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HARNESS, D	ICKEY & PIERCE, P.1	LEE, DA	LEE, DAVID J		
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2633	TALKNOWER	
			•		
			DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	V					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/004,097 .	JAKOBIK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David Lee	2633				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC.  136(a). In no event, however, may a report of the second of th	ATION. ply be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 A	<u> August 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Thi	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
· <del></del>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,3-9,11-13 and 15 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>12,13 and 15</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1,3-9 and 11</u> is/are rejected.		•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	or election requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 October 2001</u> is/ar	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of John 1 10-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li></ul>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	C	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2633

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-5, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamoto et al (US Patent No. 6,738,181) in view of Applicant's admitted prior art.

Regarding claim 1, Nakamoto teaches an optical sending apparatus (col. 2, line 45 and fig. 7, 108) being constituted in a layered member relationship that defines at least two optical layers (col. 13, lines 16-18 and fig.4, 121-1 to 121-m). The apparatus comprises: an optical transport line (fig. 7, along 102-1) operable to carry an optical system signal; multiplexing components (fig. 7, 144-1 to 144-8) operable to receive a plurality of optical data signals (fig. 7, 141-1 to 141-15) to form an optical system signal and launch the optical system signal into the optical transport line (fig. 7, 102-1); and a plurality of signal impairment compensation mechanisms operable across each of the optical layers (fig. 7, 142-1 to 142-15). Nakamoto also discloses performing impairment compensation on each of the plurality of data signals (fig. 7: 142-1, 142-2, 143-1, 142-4, etc.; also note that amplification is a form of impairment compensation), on each of the plurality of intermediate band signals (fig. 7: 142-6, 142-8, 142-10), and on the system signal (fig. 7: 145). Nakamoto does not expressly disclose performing dispersion compensation on each of the plurality of sub-band signals (although compensation is

Application/Control Number: 10/004,097

Art Unit: 2633

performed on the signals coming out of MUXs 144-1 and 144-5 in the sub-band layer, the signals coming out of MUXs 144-2 and 144-4 are not shown to have compensation mechanisms). As Nakamoto performs compensation on each of the signals in the channel layer, band layer, and the system layer, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform compensation on each signal in the sub-band layer as well in order to amplify and/or provide dispersion compensation so as to boost and to eliminate any negative dispersion effects. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to perform compensation on the signals coming out of MUXs 144-2 and 144-4 in the same way that Nakamoto performs compensation on the signals compensation on the signals.

Nakamoto does not expressly disclose that the signal impairment compensation operation includes dynamic gain flattening and optical transient suppression. However, it is well known in the art to apply techniques such as optical transient suppression, dynamic gain flattening and dispersion compensation to the optical signals (paragraph 0019 of Applicant's specification; see also fig. 7 of Nakamoto). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include optical transient suppression, dynamic gain flattening and dispersion compensation to Nakamoto's impairment compensation mechanisms in order to provide sufficient optical power for long-haul communication and for equalization at each layer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to apply to include the well known techniques of optical transient suppression, dynamic gain flattening and dispersion compensation in the

Application/Control Number: 10/004,097

Art Unit: 2633

impairment compensation mechanisms of Nakamoto in order to provide healthier signals.

Regarding claim 3, Nakamoto teaches a set of multiplexers (fig. 7, 144-1 to 144-8) operable to receive the plurality of optical data signals (fig. 7, 141-1 to 141-15) and combine the plurality of optical data signals to form a plurality of intermediate optical signals, and a system level multiplexer (fig. 7, 144-8) operable to receive the plurality of intermediate optical signals and combine the plurality of intermediate optical signals to form the optical system signal.

Regarding claim 4, Nakamoto teaches that the signal impairment compensation mechanisms are positioned at one or more inputs associated with the set of multiplexers (fig.7, 142-1 to 142-15), at one or more inputs to the system level multiplexer (fig. 7, 142-6, 142-10), and at an output of the system level multiplexer (col. 16, lines 53-56 and fig. 6, 132). The optical amplifier 132 is a signal impairment compensation mechanism because it compensates for signal loss by amplifying the signal.

Regarding claim 5, Nakamoto teaches a method for transporting optical signals in an optical transport network, comprising: receiving a plurality of optical data signals (fig. 7, 141-1 to 141-15); performing signal impairment compensation on each of the plurality of optical data signals (fig. 7, 142-1,2,4,5,7,9,11,12,14,15, 143-1 to 143-5); selectively combining the plurality of optical data signals to form a plurality of intermediate optical signals (the signals coming out of MUXs 144-6, 144-3, and 144-7 are the intermediate band signals); performing signal impairment compensation on each

Art Unit: 2633

of the plurality of intermediate optical signals (142-6, 142-8, 142-10); combining the plurality of intermediate optical signals to form an optical system signal (fig. 7, 144-8); and launching the optical system signal into the optical transport network (fig. 7, 102-1)

Nakamoto does not expressly disclose that the signal impairment compensation operation includes dynamic gain flattening and optical transient suppression. However, it is well known in the art to apply techniques such as optical transient suppression, dynamic gain flattening and dispersion compensation to the optical signals (paragraph 0019 of Applicant's specification; see also fig. 7 of Nakamoto). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include optical transient suppression, dynamic gain flattening and dispersion compensation to Nakamoto's impairment compensation mechanisms in order to provide sufficient optical power for long-haul communication and for equalization at each layer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to apply to include the well known techniques of optical transient suppression, dynamic gain flattening and dispersion compensation in the impairment compensation mechanisms of Nakamoto in order to provide healthier signals.

Regarding claim 11, Nakamoto teaches a step in performing signal impairment compensation on the optical system signal (col. 16, lines 53-56 and fig. 6, 132).

3. Claims 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamoto in view of Applicant's admitted prior art and in further view of Milton et al (US Patent No. 6,631,018 B1).

Application/Control Number: 10/004,097

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claim 6, Nakamoto and Applicant's admitted prior art teach all the limitations as applied to claim 5, except for the step of separating the optical system signal into the plurality of intermediate optical signals at a network switching site associated with the optical transport network, the network switching site interconnecting a plurality of optical transport lines; and routing at least one of the plurality of intermediate optical signals to one of the plurality of optical transport lines. Milton discloses a system where the system signal (fig. 4, 2) is separated into a plurality of intermediate optical signals (fig. 4, 12, 13, 17) at a network switching site associated with the optical transport network (fig. 4, 115), the network switching site interconnecting a plurality of optical transport lines (fig. 4, note transport lines exiting and entering crossconnect switching site); and routing at least one of the plurality of intermediate optical signals to one of the plurality of optical transport lines (fig. 4). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to incorporate a network switching site interconnecting a plurality of optical transport lines because a switching site allows for provisioning of lightpaths and the switching of a traffic stream from one line to another line in case one line fails. Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of invention that a network switching site interconnecting a plurality of optical transport lines of Milton be incorporated with the optical transport system of Nakamoto to provide provisioning and protection switching.

Regarding claim 7, Milton discloses that the step of routing at least one of the plurality of intermediate optical signals further comprises using an optical switch (fig. 4, 115 and col. 5 lines 59-60) residing at the network switching site.

Regarding claim 8, Milton discloses the step of routing at least one of the plurality of intermediate optical signals further comprises manually routing the at least one intermediate optical signal without the use of a switch (fig. 4, 13 and col. 5, lines 5-11) to a multiplexer residing at the network switching site.

Regarding claim 9, Milton discloses separating remaining intermediate optical signals into a plurality of remaining optical data signals (fig. 4 – the intermediate optical signals 12 from the demultiplexers 10 are separated into optical data signals 116 after passing through the optical cross-connect 115); routing the plurality of remaining optical data signals to a plurality of optical switches residing at the network switching site (an optical cross connect can have a plurality of optical switches residing at the network switching site).

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 12, 13, and 15 are allowed.
- 5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2633

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-2220. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:00 am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571) 272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DL

M. R. SEDIGHIAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER